

## Monday, 10 November MORNING

09:00–09:30	<p>Welcome addresses</p> <p><b>Hennie Groenewald</b>, <i>Biosafety South Africa</i></p> <p><b>Morven McLean</b>, <i>Center for Environmental Risk Assessment, ILSI Research Foundation, USA</i> (President of ISBR)</p> <p>Student Awards</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>Raymond Layton</b> <i>for the Agricultural Biotechnology Stewardship Technical Committee (ABSTC)</i></li> <li>- <b>Ben Durham</b> <i>for the Department of Science &amp; Technology</i></li> </ul>
09:30–10:00	<p><b>The Honourable Grace Naledi Mandisa Pandor</b> <i>Minister of Science and Technology, Republic of South Africa</i></p>
10:00–10:30	<b>COFFEE BREAK</b>
	<p><b>Plenary Session I: Advancing ERA – Fit for Purpose</b> <i>Chairs: Joe Smith, former Gene Technology Regulator, Australia, and Raymond Layton, DuPont Pioneer, USA</i></p>
10:30–10:40	<p>Introduction – what do we mean by 'fit for purpose' risk assessment? <b>Raymond Layton</b>, <i>DuPont Pioneer, USA</i></p>
10:40–11:00	<p>Modernising comparative risk assessments <b>Phil Macdonald</b>, <i>Canadian Food Inspection Agency, Canada</i></p>
11:00–11:20	<p>Fit for purpose risk assessment – the Malaysian experience <b>Ramatha Letchumanan</b>, <i>Department of Biosafety Malaysia, Malaysia</i></p>
11:20–11:40	<p>Fit for purpose risk assessment – the Argentinean experience <b>Martin Lema</b>, <i>Biotechnology Directorate, Secretariat of Agriculture, Livestock and Fisheries, Argentina</i></p>
11:40–12:00	<p>Building better risk assessments with fewer resources <b>Paul Keese</b>, <i>Office of the Gene Technology Regulator, Australia</i></p>
12:00–12:25	<p>Panel discussion <b>Joe Smith</b> (moderator)</p>
12:25–14:00	<b>LUNCH</b>

## AFTERNOON

14:00–17:30	<p><b>Parallel Session I</b> <b>The Basic Elements of ERA: Practical Approaches</b> <i>Organizers: Dennis Ndolo Obonyo, International Centre for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology (ICGEB), and John Komen, Program for Biosafety Systems</i></p>	<p><b>Parallel Session II</b> <b>RNAi and Environmental Risk Assessment of GE Plants</b> <i>Organizer: Andrew F Roberts, Center for Environmental Risk Assessment, ILSI Research Foundation, USA</i></p>	<p><b>Parallel Session III</b> <b>The Long and Winding Road for Regulatory Approval of GM Forest Trees</b> <i>Organizers: Armand Séguin, Canadian Forest Service, Natural Resources Canada, Steve Strauss, Oregon State University, USA, Zander Myburg, Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute, University of Pretoria, South Africa, and Hely Häggman, University of Oulu, Finland</i></p>
-------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

	<p>14:00–14:25 Introduction to ERA and problem formulation <b>Wendy Craig</b>, <i>International Centre for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology (ICGEB), Italy</i></p> <p>14:25–14:50 Fit for purpose environmental risk assessments: protection goals and assessment endpoints <b>Monica Garcia-Alonso</b>, <i>Estel Consult Ltd., UK</i></p> <p>14:50–15:15 Practical approaches to implementing ERA according to the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety <b>Karen Hokanson</b>, <i>University of Minnesota, USA</i></p> <p>15:15–15:30 Q &amp; A / panel discussion <b>Dennis Ndolo Obonyo</b>, <b>John Komen</b> (moderators)</p>	<p><b>Section 1: Problem formulation for RNAi</b></p> <p>14:00–14:10 Introduction <b>Andrew F Roberts</b>, <i>Center for Environmental Risk Assessment, ILSI Research Foundation, USA</i></p> <p>14:10–14:30 Non-target organism testing, environmental fate and ecological risk assessment for an RNAi plant incorporated protectant <b>Pamela Bachmann</b>, <i>Monsanto Company, USA</i></p> <p>14:30–14:50 Biosafety considerations of RNAi-mediated virus resistance in fruit-tree cultivars and in rootstock <b>Godwin Nana Yaw Lemgo</b>, <i>NEPAD Agency – African Biosafety Network of Expertise (ABNE), Burkina Faso</i></p> <p><b>Section 2: Susceptibility of organisms to environmental RNA</b></p> <p>14:50–15:10 Differential response in non-target arthropods to dsRNA-mediated RNAi <b>Xuguo "Joe" Zhou</b>, <i>University of Kentucky, USA</i></p> <p>15:10–15:30 Evidence for uptake and function of plant-produced microRNAs in mammals <b>Victoria Vance</b>, <i>University of South Carolina, USA</i></p>	<p>14:00–14:15 Research progress in genetic engineering of forest trees; where are we now? <b>Armand Séguin</b>, <i>Natural Resources Canada, Canada</i></p> <p>14:15–14:40 Biosafety of a yield-enhancing genetically modified eucalyptus <b>Eugenio Cesar Ulian</b>, <i>FuturaGene Ltd., Brazil</i></p> <p>14:40–15:05 Can genetically modified trees help address forest health challenges? The American chestnut biological and regulatory test case <b>Adam Costanza</b>, <i>Institute of Forest Biotechnology, North Carolina, USA</i></p> <p>15:05–15:30 Regulatory challenges of genetically engineered forest trees: Lessons from the European Union COST project <b>Hely Häggman</b>, <i>University of Oulu, Finland</i></p>
15:30–16:00	COFFEE BREAK		

	<p>16:00–16:25 Problem formulation for an environmental risk assessment for the introduction of GE weevil-resistant sweetpotato in Uganda <b>Barbara Mugwanya Zawedde</b>, <i>Uganda Biosciences Information Center, National Crop Resources Research Institute, Uganda</i></p> <p>16:25–16:50 Regulatory aspects of environmental risk assessment – Case study of Africa crops <b>Moussa Savadogo</b>, <i>NEPAD African Biosafety Network of Expertise (ABNE), Burkina Faso</i></p> <p>16:50–17:15 Practical tools to address data requirements and uncertainty <b>Paul Keese</b>, <i>Office of the Gene Technology Regulator (OGTR), Australia</i></p> <p>17:15–17:30 Q &amp; A / Panel discussion <b>Dennis Ndolo Obonyo, John Komen</b> (moderators)</p>	<p>16:00–16:20 Evaluating oral uptake and function of RNAi effectors in mammals <b>Ken Witwer</b>, <i>Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, USA</i> Section 3: ERA perspectives</p> <p>16:20–16:40 International scientific workshop “Risk assessment considerations for RNAi-based GM plants” (4-5 June, Brussels) <b>Yann Devos</b>, <i>European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), Italy</i></p> <p><b>Section 4: Moderated panel discussion</b> 16:40–17:30 Panel discussion <b>Alan Gray</b> (moderator), <i>Center for Hydrology and Ecology, UK &amp; President-elect International Society for Biosafety Research (ISBR)</i></p>	<p>16:00–16:25 Regulation of genetically engineered forest trees in the United States <b>Pauline Spaine</b>, <i>USDA/APHIS, USA</i></p> <p>16:25–16:50 Stepping back: How can we improve regulatory reviews to promote innovative and safe uses of genetically modified (GM) trees? <b>Steven H Strauss</b>, <i>Oregon State University, USA</i></p> <p>16:50–17:30 Discussion <b>Cristina Vettori</b> (moderator), <i>Institute of Bioscience and Bioresources - CNR, Italy</i></p>
<b>17:30–18:30</b>	<b>Poster Session I</b>		
1	GRACE - A new European research project on GM crop risk assessment <b>Ralf Wilhelm</b> , <i>Julius Kühn Institute (JKI), Federal Research Centre for Cultivated Plants, Germany</i>		
2	A framework for a good review practice of existing evidence of potential impacts of genetically modified plants <b>Ralf Wilhelm</b> , <i>Julius Kühn Institute (JKI), Federal Research Centre for Cultivated Plants, Germany</i>		
5	Examples of data promoting the use of problem formulation to plan and scope risk assessments of GM combined trait stacks <b>Justin McDonald</b> , <i>Syngenta Crop Protection, USA</i>		
8	RFID tags used as an internal graft for the electronic tracking of plant material <b>Dave Pons</b> , <i>Mangosuthu University of Technology, South Africa</i>		
10	Field studies on assessing the effects of a genetically modified WYMV-resistant wheat on rhizosphere microbial communities <b>Zhenhua Zhang</b> , <i>Nanjing Institute of Environmental Sciences, China</i>		
12	Partnership for biosafety risk assessment and regulation: some reflections on the activities of the capacity building support program for Paraguay <b>Carmen Vicién</b> , <i>Center for Environmental Risk Assessment (CERA), Argentina</i>		

14	SABP contribution towards developing biosafety regulatory regimes in Bangladesh <b>M Imdadul Hoque</b> , <i>University of Dhaka, Bangladesh</i>
16	Detection methods data base <b>Taiwo Koyejo</b> , <i>CropLife International A.I.S.B.L., Belgium</i>
18	Biotechnological considerations for the Water Efficient Maize for Africa (WEMA) project in South Africa <b>Sneha Mary James</b> , <i>Agricultural Research Council-Grain Crops Institute, South Africa</i>
20	Proteomic analysis of herbicide tolerant transgenic maize (NK603) in response to drought stress <b>Rafael Fonseca Benevenuto</b> , <i>Federal University of Santa Catarina, Brazil</i>
22	Facilities readiness for containment studies of GM mosquitoes <b>M. Megan Quinlan</b> , <i>Imperial College London, UK</i>
24	Biotechnology and crop disease resistance in South Africa <b>Maryke Carstens</b> , <i>Genomics Research Institute (GRI), University of Pretoria, South Africa</i>
26	Environmental risk assessment workshop: non-target organism testing <b>Andrew Roberts</b> , <i>Center for Environmental Risk Assessment (CERA), USA</i>
28	An experimental system for assessing the toxicity of gut-active insecticidal compounds on green lacewings using <i>Chrysoperla sinica</i> as a surrogate species <b>Jörg Romeis</b> , <i>Institute of Plant Protection, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China &amp; Agroscope, Institute for Sustainability Sciences ISS, Switzerland</i>
29	Protected field site for research with genetically modified plants <b>Jörg Romeis</b> , <i>Agroscope, Institute for Sustainability Sciences ISS, Switzerland</i>
31	Similarity of beneficial arthropod taxa in agroecosystems across geographies enables the transportability of Bt crop non-target arthropod field data across borders <b>David Carson</b> , <i>Monsanto Company, USA</i>
32	Assessment of phenotypic characteristics of inter-specific hybrids between wild soybean ( <i>Glycine soja</i> Sieb. et Zucc) and cultivated soybean ( <i>Glycine max</i> (L.) Merrill) with and without insect protected biotechnology trait <b>David Carson</b> , <i>Monsanto Company, USA</i>
34	Screening <i>Busseola fusca</i> populations for resistance to Bt maize events in South Africa <b>Elrine Huyser</b> , <i>School of Biological Sciences, North-West University, South Africa</i>
36	Comparative evaluation of bacterial diversity from GM and non-GM maize rhizosphere <b>Naseer Ahmad</b> , <i>Institute of Information Technology (CIIT), Pakistan</i>
38	Bt maize in South Africa: when is it field-evolved resistance? <b>Dishon Wayne Hiebner</b> , <i>School of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa</i>
40	Microbial community structure of <i>Busseola fusca</i> (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) <b>Maxi Snyman</b> , <i>School of Biological Sciences, North-West University, South Africa</i>

## Tuesday, 11 November MORNING

Plenary Session II: Advancing ERA - For Africa			
<i>Chairs: Hector Quemada, Donald Danforth Plant Science Center, USA, and Kwabena Bosompem, Noguchi Memorial Institute for Medical Research, University of Ghana, Ghana</i>			
09:00–09:10	Setting the context for the session: Advancing ERA – for Africa <b>Kwabena Bosompem</b> , <i>Noguchi Memorial Institute for Medical Research, University of Ghana, Ghana</i>		
09:10–09:50	<b>KEYNOTE</b> Building technological capability for controversial biotechnologies in Tanzania – A decision making perspective <b>Flora Ismail Tibazarwa</b> , <i>Tanzania Commission for Science and Technology, Tanzania</i>		
09:50–10:15	An overview of GMO research and development in Africa <b>Lawrence Kent</b> , <i>Bill &amp; Melinda Gates Foundation, USA</i>		
10:15–10:45 COFFEE BREAK			
10:45–11:10	Challenges and solutions for implementing risk assessment and risk management at the research stage in Africa: The case of Uganda <b>Barbara Mugwanya Zawedde</b> , <i>National Agriculture Research Organization, Uganda</i>		
11:10–11:35	Environmental risk assessment and commercial release in Africa: challenges and solutions <b>Wadzanayi P Mandivenyi</b> , <i>National Department of Environmental Affairs, South Africa</i>		
11:35–12:00	Post-commercialization monitoring and product stewardship in Africa: challenges and solutions <b>Walter Alhassan</b> , <i>Biotechnology And Stewardship For Sustainable Agriculture In West Africa (BSSAW), Ghana</i>		
12:00–12:25	Panel discussion <b>Hector Quemada, Kwabena Bosompem</b> (moderators)		
12:25–14:00 LUNCH			
AFTERNOON			
14:00–17:30	<b>Parallel Session IV Risk Assessment Experiences and Capacity Building in Africa</b> <i>Organizers: Karim Maredia, Michigan State University, USA, and Julius Ecuru, Uganda National Council for Science and Technology, Uganda</i>	<b>Parallel Session V Quality of Scientific Studies Supporting the Non-target Risk Assessment of Transgenic Plants</b> <i>Organizers: Yann Devos, GMO Unit, European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), Italy, and Jörg Romeis, Agroscope, Institute for Sustainability Sciences ISS, Switzerland</i>	<b>Parallel Session VI Science Communication: A Global Perspective on Best Practice</b> <i>Organizers: Kristina Sinemus, Quadriga University, Germany, Liezel Gouws, Biosafety South Africa, South Africa, and Hennie Groenewald, Biosafety South Africa, South Africa</i>
	14:00–14:10 Introduction <b>Julius Ecuru</b> , <i>Uganda National Council for Science and Technology, Uganda</i>	<b>Section 1: Introduction</b> 14:00–14:05 Introduction <b>Yann Devos</b> , <i>European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), Italy</i>	14:00–14:10 Introduction <b>Henie Groenewald</b> , <i>Biosafety South Africa, South Africa</i>

	<p>14:10–14:30 The ICGEB biosafety capacity building project for Sub-Saharan Africa: Experiences, challenges and lessons learnt over the last 5 years <b>Dennis Ndolo Obonyo</b>, <i>International Centre for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology (ICGEB), South Africa</i></p> <p>14:30–14:50 Matching national biosafety regulatory norms with international best practices: The work of the Uganda national biosafety committee <b>Gilbert Gumisiriza</b>, <i>Uganda National Council for Science and Technology, Uganda</i></p> <p>14:50–15:10 Bt cowpea risk assessment experiences in Burkina Faso <b>Oumar Traore</b>, <i>Institut de l'Environnement et de Recherches Agricoles (INERA), Burkina Faso</i></p> <p>15:10–15:30 Practical human capital development – lessons from South African GMO introductions <b>Ben Durham</b>, <i>Department of Science and Technology, South Africa</i></p>	<p>14:05–14:20 Steps to assure data quality from problem formulation through risk characterization <b>Raymond Layton</b>, <i>DuPont Pioneer, USA</i></p> <p><b>Section 2: Laboratory studies</b> 14:20–14:35 Good practices for the experimental design of laboratory studies <b>Jörg Romeis</b>, <i>Agroscope, Institute for Sustainability Sciences ISS, Switzerland</i></p> <p>14:35–14:50 An index for the critical appraisal of ecotoxicological laboratory studies supporting the environmental risk assessment of GM plants <b>Yann Devos</b>, <i>European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), Italy</i></p> <p><b>Section 3: Field studies</b> 14:50–15:05 Good practices for the experimental design of ERA-GMO field studies <b>Richard L Hellmich</b>, <i>USDA–ARS &amp; Iowa State University, USA</i></p> <p>15:05–15:20 Appraisal criteria for the experimental design of field studies on non-target effects of GE crops <b>Michael Meissle</b>, <i>Agroscope, Institute for Sustainability Sciences ISS, Switzerland</i></p> <p>15:20–15:30 Q &amp; A</p>	<p>14:10–14:25 Science communication – Countering GMO misinformation <b>Martin Lema</b>, <i>Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Fisheries, Argentina</i></p> <p>14:25–14:40 Risk communication – Tanzania/Africa <b>Flora Ismail Tibazarwa</b>, <i>Tanzania Commission for Science and Technology, Tanzania</i></p> <p>14:40–14:55 Science &amp; risk communication on GMOs in the EU- our experience <b>Kristina Sinemus</b>, <i>Quadriga University, Germany</i></p> <p>14:55–15:10 The importance of social media: Twitter 101 <b>Elizabeth Williams</b>, <i>Center for Environmental Risk Assessment, ILSI Research Foundation, USA</i></p> <p>15:10–15:30 General discussion <b>Kristina Sinemus</b><sup>1</sup>, <b>Henie Groenewald</b><sup>2</sup>, <i>1 Quadriga University, Germany, 2 Biosafety South Africa, South Africa</i></p>
<b>15:30–16:00</b>	<b>COFFEE BREAK</b>		
	<p>16:00–16:20 Risk assessment of GM crops – The Ghana experience <b>Yaa Osei</b>, <i>University of Ghana-Legon, Ghana</i></p>	<p><b>Section 4: Challenges</b> 16:00–16:15 Testing for synergism among multiple insecticidal proteins produced in stacked transgenic crop events <b>Gerson Graser</b>, <i>Syngenta Crop Protection, USA</i></p>	<p>16:00–17:00 Interactive discussion and workshopping <b>Kristina Sinemus</b>, <i>Quadriga University, Germany</i></p>

	<p>16:20–16:40 Challenges facing development and implementation of biosafety systems in Africa <b>Diran Makinde</b>, <i>NEPAD Agency African Biosafety Network of Expertise (ABNE)</i>, Burkina Faso</p> <p>16:40–17:00 Enhancing regulatory systems for science-based biosafety decision-making on genetically engineered crops in Africa <b>Samuel Timpo</b>, <i>NEPAD Agency African Biosafety Network of Expertise (ABNE)</i>, Burkina Faso &amp; Michigan State University, USA</p> <p>17:00–17:30 Discussion <b>Karim Maredia</b> (moderator)</p>	<p><b>Section 5: Perspective of risk assessors from the public and private sector</b> 16:15–16:30 Study quality and regulatory decision-making: evaluating ecotoxicological data supporting the non-target risk assessment of plant incorporated protectants (PIPs) <b>Pamela M Bachman</b>, <i>Monsanto Company, USA</i></p> <p>16:30–16:45 The Australian regulatory approach to quality appraisal of NTO studies <b>Alison Wardrop</b>, <i>Office of the Gene Technology Regulator, Australia</i></p> <p>16:45–17:00 European risk assessor perspective on the quality of <i>in vivo</i> bioassays <b>Adinda De Schrijver</b>, <i>Scientific Institute of Public Health, Belgium</i></p> <p><b>Section 6: Moderated panel discussion</b> 17:00–17:30 Panel discussion on appraisal criteria <b>Andrew Roberts</b> (moderator), <i>Center for Environmental Risk Assessment, ILSI Research Foundation, USA</i></p>	<p>17:00–17:20 Reflection and analysis <b>Hennie Groenewald, Liezel Gouws, Kristina Sinemus</b></p> <p>17:00–17:30 Summary and conclusion <b>Hennie Groenewald, Liezel Gouws, Kristina Sinemus</b></p>
<b>17:30–18:30</b>	<b>POSTER SESSION II</b>		
3	An ERA method to evaluate potential adverse effects of genetically modified plants <b>Cristina Vettori</b> , <i>Institute of Bioscience and Biorisources – CNR, Italy</i>		
4	Potential impact of poplar plantations cultivated in proximity of protected areas <b>Cristina Vettori</b> , <i>Institute of Bioscience and Biorisources – CNR, Italy</i>		
6	Advisory service: risk assessment support <b>Lise Nordgård</b> , <i>GenØk – Centre for biosafety, Norway</i>		
7	Prevalence of specific natural variants of antibiotic resistance marker genes (ARM) used in GMOs ( <i>nptII</i> ) in soil in the northern part of Norway <b>Lise Nordgård</b> , <i>GenØk – Centre for biosafety, Norway</i>		
9	Nestle's GMO screening tool to comply with legislations <b>Geoffrey Cottenet</b> , <i>Nestlé Research Center, Switzerland</i>		
11	The role of ABNE in building functional biosafety system in Nigeria <b>Olalekan Akinbo</b> , <i>African Biosafety Network of Expertise (ABNE), Nigeria</i>		

13	<p>United States Department of Agriculture authorized confined field trials of genetically engineered trees</p> <p><b>Christina Viegla</b>s, <i>United States Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Biotechnology Regulatory Services, USA</i></p>
15	<p>Comparative proteomic analysis of genetically modified maize grown under different agroecosystems conditions in Brazil</p> <p><b>Odd-Gunnar Wikmark</b>, <i>GenØk - Centre for biosafety, Norway</i></p>
17	<p>Environmental risk assessment for the introduction of GM-maize in Mexico</p> <p><b>Sol Ortiz-García</b>, <i>Intersecretarial Commission of Biosafety and Genetically Modified Organisms (CIBIOGEM), Mexico</i></p>
19	<p>Preliminary risk assessment of the transgenic plants use in Ukraine</p> <p><b>Yaroslav B Blume</b>, <i>Institute of Food Biotechnology and Genomics, Natl. Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Ukraine</i></p>
21	<p>Evaluation of the crossability between small grains</p> <p><b>Willem C Botes</b>, <i>Plant Breeding Laboratory, Department of Genetics, Stellenbosch University, South Africa</i></p>
23	<p>Absence of a biosafety law, has it prevented the consumption of genetically modified foods in Nigeria?</p> <p><b>Sylvia Uzochukwu</b>, <i>Federal University, Nigeria</i></p>
25	<p>Assessment of the weediness Potential and preferred control methods of selected agricultural crops grown in Kaduna and Abuja, Nigeria for biosafety use</p> <p><b>Ukpai Agha</b>, <i>University of Adelaide, Australia</i></p>
27	<p>A critical assessment of the effect of Cry proteins on beneficial natural enemies</p> <p><b>Richard L Hellmich</b>, <i>USDA-ARS, Corn Insects and Crop Genetics Research Unit, USA</i></p>
30	<p>Establishing a test system for <i>Drosophila melanogaster</i> to assess the non-target effects of genetically engineered plants</p> <p><b>Michael Meissle</b>, <i>Agroscope, Institute for Sustainability Sciences ISS, Switzerland</i></p>
33	<p>Survival of <i>Busseola fusca</i> migrating between Bt and non-Bt maize plants: mimicking a seed mixture scenario</p> <p><b>Jeanrè Rudman</b>, <i>School of Biological Sciences, North-West University, South Africa</i></p>
35	<p>Evaluation of <i>Busseola fusca</i> and <i>Chilo partellus</i> survival on artificial diet compared to maize plant material</p> <p><b>Mabel Du Toit</b>, <i>ARC-Grain Crops Institute, South Africa</i></p>
37	<p>Impacts of the pollen of genetically modified maize (Bt) on the hygienic behavior of <i>Apis mellifera</i> L.</p> <p><b>Leon Bizzocchi</b>, <i>Federal University of Santa Catarina, Brazil</i></p>
39	<p><i>Cytochrome c oxidase I</i> and <i>cytochrome b</i> mitochondrial genes indicate low genetic diversity of South African <i>Busseola fusca</i> (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae)</p> <p><b>Bianca Peterson</b>, <i>Unit for Environmental Sciences and Development, North-West University, South Africa</i></p>
41	<p>Defining protection goals for Malawi environmental risk assessment. The case for Bt cotton and Bt cowpea</p> <p><b>Wezi Mkwaila</b>, <i>Lilongwe University of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Malawi</i></p>



## Wednesday, 12 November MORNING

07:45–08:45	<b>ISBR Member Meeting</b>		
09:00–12:30	<b>Parallel Session VII (Submitted presentations 1)</b> <i>Moderator: <b>Nora Eckermann</b>, Bayer CropScience, Germany; <b>Richard L Hellmich</b>, USDA–ARS &amp; Iowa State University, USA</i>	<b>Parallel Session VIII (Submitted presentations 2)</b> <i>Moderator: <b>Patrick Rüdelsheim</b>, Perseus, Belgium; <b>Joachim Schiemann</b>, Julius Kühn Institute (JKI), Federal Research Centre for Cultivated Plants, Germany</i>	<b>Parallel Session IX (Submitted presentations 3)</b> <i>Moderator: <b>Wendy Craig</b>, International Centre for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology (ICGEB), Italy; <b>Michael Wach</b>, Center for Environmental Risk Assessment, ILSI Research Foundation, USA</i>
	<b>Target and non-target effects of GM plants; Disease-resistant GM plants</b>	<b>Vertical gene flow, weediness and invasiveness; Varia</b>	<b>Risk assessment and regulatory considerations</b>
09:00–09:15	Potential use of an arthropod database to support the nontarget risk assessment and monitoring of transgenic plants <b>Michael Meissle</b> , Agroscope, Institute for Sustainability Sciences ISS, Switzerland	Relevance of crop biology for environmental risk assessment (ERA) of genetically modified crops in Africa <b>Olalekan Akinbo</b> , NEPAD African Biosafety Network of Expertise, Nigeria	Regulatory and biosafety considerations for plants developed through new breeding technologies <b>René Custers</b> , VIB, Belgium
09:15–09:30	Transportability of relevant laboratory and field data for the environmental risk assessment of genetically modified crops <b>David Carson</b> , Monsanto Company, USA	There is more to fitness than fecundity: Demographic analysis to evaluate genetically modified crops <b>Linda Hall</b> , University of Alberta, Canada	Development of construct based risk assessment criteria for GE crops <b>Clara Rubinstein</b> , Monsanto Argentina, Argentina
09:30–09:45	Development of a dietary toxicity assay for assess the potential effects of insecticidal proteins on the ladybird beetle <i>Propylea japonica</i> <b>Jörg Romeis</b> , Agroscope, Institute for Sustainability Sciences ISS, Switzerland & Institute of Plant Protection, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China	Sugarcane seed and seedling physiology: Knowledge to support environmental risk assessment for GM deployment <b>Johann S Pierre</b> , CSIRO, Australia	Environmental risk assessments for biotech crops in the EU <b>David Andres</b> , Europabio, Belgium
09:45–10:00	Target and non-target effects of a spider venom toxin ( $\omega$ -Hexatoxin-Hv1a) produced in transgenic cotton and tobacco plants <b>Inaam Ullah</b> , National Institute for Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering (NIBGE) & Pakistan Institute of Engineering and Applied Sciences (PIEAS), Pakistan & Agroscope, Institute for Sustainability Sciences ISS, Switzerland	Regulatory compliance: Confined field trial of Africa biofortified sorghum in Kenya and Nigeria and impact of gene flow on the environment <b>Silas D Obukosia</b> , Africa Harvest Biotechnology Foundation International	South Asia biosafety programme: An initiative towards harmonization of regulatory requirements in India and Bangladesh <b>Vibha Ahuja</b> , Biotech Consortium India Limited, India

10:00–10:15	Generational effects of Cry1Ab released from Bt-corn straw on life-history traits of <i>Eisenia fetida</i> <b>Jianwu Wang</b> , <i>College of Agriculture, South China Agricultural University, China</i>	Assessing genetically engineered insect-resistant rice in China: Potential environmental impacts from transgene flow <b>Bao-Rong Lu</b> , <i>Ministry of Education Key Laboratory for Biodiversity Science and Ecological Engineering, Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Fudan University, China</i>	Genetically modified crops in developing countries: How past adoption history and regulatory challenges speak to Africa today <b>Woldeyesus Sinebo</b> , <i>NEPAD Agency African Biosafety Network of Expertise, Uganda</i>
10:15–10:30	Field evaluations of maize expressing RNAi-based insect protected trait on non-target organisms <b>Wladecir Oliveira</b> , <i>Monsanto do Brasil Ltda., Brazil</i>	<i>Brassica napus</i> culture and potential hybridization with <i>Sinapis arvensis</i> <b>Cristina Vettori</b> , <i>Institute of Bioscience and Bioresources - CNR, UOS FI, Italy</i>	Challenges against environmental risk assessment of genetically modified organisms in Nigeria <b>Abiodun A Denloye</b> , <i>Department of Zoology and Environmental Biology, Lagos State University, Nigeria</i>
<b>10:30–11:00</b>	<b>COFFEE BREAK</b>		
11:00–11:15	Post Marked Field Monitoring and evolution of occurrence of <i>Spodoptera frugiperda</i> (Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Bt maize in Brazil <b>Fernando H Valicente</b> , <i>Embrapa Milho e Sorgo, Brazil</i>	Pollen-mediated gene flow from genetically modified wheat plants <b>Dmitry Miroschnichenko</b> , <i>'Biotron', Branch of Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry RAS, Russia</i>	Status of biosafety regulation in Nigeria <b>Chinyere Nzeduru</b> , <i>Biosafety Unit, Federal Ministry of Environment, Nigeria</i>
11:15–11:30	Biological safety assessment of a novel antifungal protein, mASAL the mutant variant of <i>Allium sativum</i> leaf agglutinin (ASAL) <b>Sampa Das</b> , <i>Bose Institute, India</i>	Risk assessment of a novel aquatic animal for commercial production in Canada <b>Colin McGowan</b> , <i>Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Canada</i>	Ghana's progress on developing a practical and useful biosafety communication strategy <b>Eric Amaning Okoree</b> , <i>Ministry of Environment, Science, Technology and Innovation (MESTI), Ghana</i>
11:30–11:45	Potatoes with late blight resistance: Risk assessment for R-proteins <b>Susan Collinge</b> , <i>J.R. Simplot Co., USA</i>	Lack of transparency on environmental risks assessment of genetically engineered crops before their commercial release <b>Borys Sorochynskyi</b> , <i>"Ukrainian Club of Agrarian Business" Association, Ukraine</i>	European-African interactions for the assessment and application of GM crops <b>Ksenia Gerasimova</b> , <i>University of Cambridge, UK</i>
11:45–12:00	Assessing the impact of genetically modified plants on the environment using NGS; A case study on transgenic grapevine rootstocks expressing viral coat protein and bacterial <i>NPTII</i> transgenes <b>Sandrine Demanèche</b> , <i>Ecole Centrale de Lyon, UMR CNRS 5005 Laboratoire Ampère, 'Génomique</i>	Stakeholder scrutiny of GMO toxicity studies <b>Armin Spoek</b> , <i>IFZ-Inter-University Research Centre for Technology, Work and Culture, Alpen-Adria Universitaet Klagenfurt- Wien-Graz, Austria</i>	Perception and trust regarding genetically modified cassava in Nigeria <b>Hajara Oyiza Yusuf</b> , <i>Biosafety Unit: National Biotechnology Development Agency (NABDA), Nigeria</i>

	<i>Microbienne Environnementale', France</i>		
12:00–12:15	Cost analysis of producing a transgenic late blight resistant potato variety by non-profit institution reveals much lower cost than that of the private sector <b>Marc Ghislain</b> , <i>Sub-Saharan Africa regional office, International Potato Center, Kenya</i>	Challenges with uncertainty in biosafety decisions <b>Anne Ingeborg Myhr</b> , <i>GenØk – Centre for Biosafety, Norway</i>	Biosafety capacity building in Africa: Experiences of Michigan State University <b>Cholani K Weebadde</b> , <i>Michigan State University, USA</i>
12:15–12:30			Socioeconomic considerations in risk assessment of GE crops in developing countries <b>Dilrukshi Hashini Galhena Dissanayake</b> , <i>Michigan State University, USA</i>
12:30–14:00	<b>LUNCH</b>		
<b>AFTERNOON</b>			
from 14:00	<b>SOCIAL PROGRAM</b>		

## Thursday, 13 November MORNING

	<b>Plenary Session III: Advancing ERA – A Global Perspective</b> <i>Chairs: <b>Morven McLean</b>, Center for Environmental Risk Assessment, ILSI Research Foundation, USA, and <b>Monica Garcia-Alonso</b>, Estel Consult Ltd., UK</i>		
09:00–09:10	Setting the context for the session <b>Morven McLean</b> , Center for Environmental Risk Assessment, ILSI Research Foundation, USA		
09:10–09:50	<b>KEYNOTE</b> Feeding 10 billion people sustainably and equitably <b>H Charles J Godfray</b> , Oxford University, UK		
09:50–10:15	Transportability of confined field trial data for environmental risk assessment of genetically engineered plants: A conceptual framework <b>Monica Garcia-Alonso</b> , Estel Consult Ltd., UK		
10:15–10:45	<b>COFFEE BREAK</b>		
10:45–11:10	Progress and challenges for implementation of the common market for Eastern and Southern Africa (COMESA) policy on biotechnology and biosafety <b>Michael Waithaka</b> , Association for Strengthening Agricultural Research in Eastern and Central Africa, Uganda		
11:10–11:35	Under the Cartagena Protocol, where is the roadmap for risk assessment of living modified organisms taking us? <b>Helmut Gaugitsch</b> , Environment Agency Austria, Austria		
11:35–12:00	Making protection goals operational for use in environmental risk assessments – an EFSA perspective <b>Yann Devos</b> , European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), Italy		
12:00–12:25	Panel Discussion <b>Morven McLean</b> (moderator)		
12:25–14:00	<b>LUNCH</b>		
<b>AFTERNOON</b>			
14:00–17:00	<b>Parallel Session X</b> <b>Evidence Synthesis: A New Tool Informing the Impact Assessment of GMOs</b> <i>Organizers: <b>Joachim Schiemann</b>, Julius Kühn Institute (JKI), Federal Research Centre for Cultivated Plants, Germany, and <b>Neal Haddaway</b>, Bangor University, UK</i>	<b>Parallel Session XI</b> <b>The Design and Implementation of IRM Programs for GM Crops</b> <i>Organizers: <b>Graham Head</b>, Monsanto Company, USA, <b>Johnnie van den Berg</b>, North West University, South Africa, and <b>Richard L Hellmich</b>, USDA–ARS &amp; Iowa State University, USA</i>	<b>Parallel Session XII</b> <b>Capturing and Addressing Public Input to Biosafety Decisions: Realities and Useful Experiences</b> <i>Organizers: <b>M Megan Quinlan</b>, Imperial College London, UK, and <b>Joe Smith</b>, former Gene Technology Regulator, Australia</i>
	14:00–14:05 Introduction <b>Joachim Schiemann</b> , Julius Kühn Institute (JKI), Federal Research Centre for Cultivated Plants, Germany	14:00–14:10 Introduction <b>Johnnie van den Berg</b>	14:00–14:10 Introduction: Who is the public? Why are we asking for input? <b>M Megan Quinlan</b> , Imperial College London, UK

	<p>14:05–14:25 An introduction to evidence synthesis approaches and their suitability in solving specific problems <b>Neal Haddaway</b>, <i>Bangor University, UK</i></p> <p>14:25–15:05 Evidence synthesis and its capability to inform evidence-based decision making processes <b>Neal Haddaway</b>, <i>Bangor University, UK</i></p> <p>15:05–15:30 Evidence synthesis: The GRACE approach <b>Ralf Wilhelm</b>, <i>Julius Kühn Institute, Federal Research Centre for Cultivated Plants, Germany</i></p>	<p>14:10–14:30 Applications of new insights on <i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> toxin mode of action to resistance management <b>David G Heckel</b>, <i>Max Planck Institute for Chemical Ecology, Germany</i></p> <p>14:30–14:50 Increasing levels of resistance in weed and pests – what can be learned from the use of antibiotics in medicine <b>Ørjan Olsvik</b>, <i>University of Tromsø and GenØk – Centre for Biosafety, Norway</i></p> <p>14:50–15:10 Designing and implementing IRM programs for Bt crops <b>Graham Head</b>, <i>Monsanto Company, USA</i></p> <p>15:10–15:30 Experiences with Bt crop IRM in the United States <b>Richard L Hellmich</b>, <i>USDA-ARS and Iowa State University, USA</i></p>	<p>14:10–14:30 Efficient methods for collecting and classifying public input to biosafety decisions <b>Louise Ball</b>, <i>Department for Environment Food &amp; Rural Affairs, UK</i></p> <p>14:30–14:50 Making decisions in the face of external influences to public input <b>Ma Lorelie U Agbagala</b>, <i>Bureau of Plant Industry-Plant Quarantine Service, Philippines</i></p> <p>14:50–15:10 The value and effectiveness of risk communication in biosafety decision-making: an industry perspective <b>Raymond Layton</b>, <i>DuPont Pioneer, USA</i></p> <p>15:10–15:30 Acknowledging public input – the importance of a systemic approach to both technical issues and social values <b>Paul Keese</b>, <i>Office of the Gene Technology Regulator, Australia</i></p>
<b>15:30–16:00</b>	<b>COFFEE BREAK</b>		
	<p>16:00–16:20 Systematic reviews and evidence synthesis of environmental impacts of GM plants in the GRACE project <b>Jeremy Sweet</b>, <i>Sweet Environmental Consultants, UK</i></p> <p>16:20–16:45 An introduction to “CADIMA” and online tools developed to support evidence synthesis <b>Stefan Unger</b>, <i>Julius Kühn Institute, Federal Research Centre for Cultivated Plants, Germany</i></p>	<p>16:00–16:20 African maize stem borer resistance to Bt corn in South Africa: Implications for IRM in Africa <b>Johnnie van den Berg</b>, <i>North West University, South Africa</i></p> <p>16:20–16:40 Challenges for the design and implementation of IRM and stewardship programs within public-private partnerships <b>James A Okeno</b>, <i>African Agricultural Technology Foundation (AATF), Kenya</i></p>	<p>16:00–16:20 Public Input into US biotechnology regulatory decisions <b>Kham Vongpaseuth</b>, <i>United States Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USA</i></p> <p>16:20–16:55 Discussion <b>Joe Smith</b> (moderator)</p>

	16:45–17:00 Discussion <b>Joachim Schiemann</b> (moderator)	16:40–17:00 Stewardship programs for Bt crops in Africa <b>Kulani Machaba</b> , <i>DuPont  Pioneer, South Africa</i>	16:55–17:00 Conclusions <b>M Megan Quinlan</b>
<b>17:00–17:30</b>	<b>ISBGMO13 CLOSING SESSION</b>		